IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF TENNESSEE WESTERN DIVISION

VICTOR BODDIE,)
Plaintiff,)) Case No. 2:19-cv-02423-JPM-atc
v.) (ase 140. 2.17-ev-02+25-311vi-ate
THE CHEMOURS COMPANY, a/k/a THE CHEMOURS COMPANY FC, LLC,)))
Defendant.	
VERD	ICT FORM
We, the jury, unanimously answer the question	ns submitted by the Court as follows:
ADEA Discrimination	
1. Has the Plaintiff proven by a prepon	derance of the evidence that Chemours terminated
him because of his age?	
Answer: Yes or No	(Plaintiff has the burden of proof.)
If you answered "Yes," proceed to (Question 2. Otherwise, proceed to Question 3.
2. A. If the Plaintiff was terminated beca	use of his age, state the amount, if any, of back pay
he would have received from Defenda	nt if the age discrimination had not occurred:
\$_294,178.10 (Plaintiff has the bur	den of proof.)
B. If the Plaintiff was terminated l	because of his age, state the amount, if any, of
additional future pay he would have	received from Defendant from the present until the
date he would have otherwise stopped	working for Chemours:
$$868,970^{-00}$ (Plaintiff has the bur	den of proof.)

Pretext

3.	Did Chemours offer evidence of a legitimate, non-discriminatory reason for the adverse
	employment action taken against Plaintiff?
	Answer: Yes or No (Defendant need only state a legitimate, non-
	discriminatory reason for you to answer "yes" to this question.)
	If you answered "Yes," proceed to Question 4, otherwise proceed to Question 5.
4.	Did Plaintiff prove by a preponderance of the evidence that the reasons advanced by
	Defendant were a pretext?
	Answer: Yes or No (Plaintiff has the burden of proof.)
Title V	II and Section 1981: Racial Discrimination
5.	Has the Plaintiff proven by a preponderance of the evidence that Chemours terminated
	him because of his race?
	a. Under Title VII?
	Answer: Yes or No (Plaintiff has the burden of proof.)
	b. Under Section 1981?
	Answer: Yes or No (Plaintiff has the burden of proof.)
	If you have answered "No" to Questions 1, 5(a), AND 5(b), sign the verdict form and
	return it to the Court. If you answered "Yes" to 5(a) and/or 5(b), proceed to
	Question 6. Otherwise, proceed to Question 7.
6.	A. If the Plaintiff was terminated because of his race, state the amount, if any, of back
	pay he would have received from Defendant if the racial discrimination had not occurred:
	\$ 294,176.10 (Plaintiff has the burden of proof.)

B. If the Plaintiff was terminated because of his race, state the amount, if any, of
additional future pay he would have received from Defendant from the present until the
date he would have otherwise stopped working for Chemours:

Mitigation of Damages

7.	Has Defendant proven by a preponderance of the evidence that Plaintiff failed to mitigate
	his damages?
	Answer: Yes or No (Defendant has the burden of proof.)
	If you answered "Yes," proceed to Question 8. Otherwise, proceed to Question 9.
8.	A. If you found that the Plaintiff failed to mitigate his damages, state the date from which
	he failed to mitigate his damages?
	Day: Month: Year: (Defendant has burden of proof.)
	B. If you found that the Plaintiff failed to mitigate his damages, state the amount he could
	have earned per year at a new job:
	\$ per year. (Defendant has burden of proof.)
After-	Acquired Evidence
9.	Did Defendant prove by a preponderance of the evidence that it would have terminated
	Plaintiff anyway because of the after-acquired evidence?
	Answer: Yes or No (Defendant has the burden of proof.)

Compensatory Damages
10. Has Plaintiff proven by a preponderance of the evidence that he is owed compensatory
damages for emotional pain and suffering as a result of his termination?
Answer: Yes or No (Plaintiff has burden of proof.)
If you answered "Yes," proceed to Question 11. Otherwise, proceed to Question 12.
11. If you found that Plaintiff has suffered emotional pain and suffering as a result of his
termination, what amount, if any, do you award in compensatory damages?
\$(Plaintiff has burden of proof.)
Nominal Damages
12. If you found that Plaintiff's federally protected rights were violated, but he suffered no
actual damages as a result, what amount, not greater than \$1, do you award in nominal
damages?
\$ (Plaintiff has burden of proof.)
Willful Violation
13. If you answered "Yes" to Question 1, that is, that Defendant discriminated against Mr.
Boddie based on his age: Has Mr. Boddie proven by a preponderance of the evidence that
Chemours's age discrimination was "willful," meaning it was done voluntarily,

deliberately, and intentionally, and not by accident, inadvertence, or ordinary negligence.

Answer: Yes ____ or No ____ (Plaintiff has burden of proof.)

14. If you answered "Yes" to 5(a) and/or 5(b), that is, you found that Defendant discriminated against Plaintiff based on his race: Did the Plaintiff prove by a preponderance of the evidence that the authorized management personnel that acted discriminatorily acted with malice or reckless indifference toward the Plaintiff's federally protected rights?

Answer: Yes ____ or No ____ (Plaintiff has burden of proof)

If you answered "Yes" to Question 14, proceed to Question 15. Otherwise, sign the jury verdict form and return it to the Court.

15. Did Defendant show it engaged in good-faith efforts to comply with Title VII or 42

U.S.C. § 1981?

Answer: Yes _____ or No ____ (Defendant has burden of proof.)

Please sign the jury verdict form and return it to the Court.

SO SAY WE ALL.

URY FOREPERSON

Dated: 6 21 3022